

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS

Behnam Khosravi

IASBS  
1402 Spring

# OPERATION OF NUMBERS

**Addition**  $+$ : Latin word "Et" meaning "And".  
 $\Sigma$ : Euler.

**Subtraction**  $-$ : May be derived from a tilde written over  $m$ ; or it may come from a shorthand version of the letter  $m$  itself.

**Multiplication**  $\times$  or  $\cdot$  or  $\amalg$ : Napier, Oughtred and ?,  
Leibniz, Gauss.

# DIVISION OF NUMBERS

Islamic Age  $\rightarrow$  Fibonacci:

$$\frac{A}{B}$$

De Morgan:

$$A/B$$

Johann Heinrich Rahn:

$$\frac{\bullet}{\bullet} \rightarrow \div$$

Leibniz:

$$A : B$$

**Euclid's lemma.** If a prime  $p$  divides the product  $ab$  of two integers  $a$  and  $b$ , then  $p$  must divide at least one of those integers  $a$  or  $b$ .

**Fundamental theorem of arithmetic.** Every integer greater than 1 can be represented uniquely as a product of prime numbers, up to the order of the factors.

**Euclid's theorem.** There are infinitely many prime numbers.

# WHAT ARE BUILDING BLOCKS IN OTHER STRUCTURES?

Quotients and Factorizations

Behnam Khosravi



# HOW WE CAN FIND BUILDING BLOCKS?

If a prime number  $p$  divides a number  $n$ , then there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$p = \frac{n}{m}.$$

There is **NO** number  $m < m' < n$  such that

$$\frac{n}{m} = \frac{n}{m'} \times \frac{m'}{m}.$$

Simplest Factors

Factor?!!!

# HOW WE CAN FIND BUILDING BLOCKS?

If a prime number  $p$  divides a number  $n$ , then there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$p = \frac{n}{m}.$$

There is **NO** number  $m < m' < n$  such that

$$\frac{n}{m} = \frac{n}{m'} \times \frac{m'}{m}.$$

**Simplest Factors**

Factor?!!!

# HOW WE CAN FIND BUILDING BLOCKS?

If a prime number  $p$  divides a number  $n$ , then there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$p = \frac{n}{m}.$$

There is **NO** number  $m < m' < n$  such that

$$\frac{n}{m} = \frac{n}{m'} \times \frac{m'}{m}.$$

**Simplest Factors**

**Factor?!!!**



# CONGRUENCES MODULO $m$

If two **integer** numbers  $a$  and  $b$  have the property that their difference  $a - b$  is integrally divisible by a number  $m$  (i.e.,  $(a - b)/m$  is an **integer**), then  $a$  and  $b$  are said to be "congruent modulo  $m$ " and we write  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ .

**Equivalence relation**  $a \sim b$  if and only if  $a \equiv b$ .

The equivalence class of  $a$ :

$$\bar{a} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \equiv a\}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_m = \{\bar{a} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

# CONGRUENCES MODULO $m$

Properties: If  $a \equiv a'$  and  $b \equiv b' \pmod{m}$ , then

- (1)  $a + b \equiv a' + b'$ ;  $\rightarrow \bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b}$  is well-defined;
- (2)  $-a \equiv -a'$ ;  $\rightarrow -\bar{a} = \overline{-a}$  is well-defined;
- (3)  $a - b \equiv a' - b'$ .
- (4)  $ab \equiv a'b' \rightarrow \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \overline{ab}$  is well-defined.

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$  is a group;

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, \cdot)$  is a monoid,

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +, \cdot)$  is a ring with identity  $\bar{1}$ .

# CONGRUENCES MODULO $m$

Properties: If  $a \equiv a'$  and  $b \equiv b' \pmod{m}$ , then

(1)  $a + b \equiv a' + b'$ ;  $\rightarrow \bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b}$  is well-defined;

(2)  $-a \equiv -a'$ ;  $\rightarrow -\bar{a} = \overline{-a}$  is well-defined;

(3)  $a - b \equiv a' - b'$ .

(4)  $ab \equiv a'b' \rightarrow \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \overline{ab}$  is well-defined.

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$  is a group;

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, \cdot)$  is a monoid,

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +, \cdot)$  is a ring with identity  $\bar{1}$ .

# CONGRUENCES MODULO $m$

Properties: If  $a \equiv a'$  and  $b \equiv b' \pmod{m}$ , then

- (1)  $a + b \equiv a' + b'$ ;  $\rightarrow \bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b}$  is well-defined;
- (2)  $-a \equiv -a'$ ;  $\rightarrow -\bar{a} = \overline{-a}$  is well-defined;
- (3)  $a - b \equiv a' - b'$ .
- (4)  $ab \equiv a'b' \rightarrow \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \overline{ab}$  is well-defined.

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$  is a group;

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, \cdot)$  is a monoid,

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +, \cdot)$  is a ring with identity  $\bar{1}$ .

# CONGRUENCES MODULO $m$

Properties: If  $a \equiv a'$  and  $b \equiv b' \pmod{m}$ , then

- (1)  $a + b \equiv a' + b'$ ;  $\rightarrow \bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b}$  is well-defined;
- (2)  $-a \equiv -a'$ ;  $\rightarrow -\bar{a} = \overline{-a}$  is well-defined;
- (3)  $a - b \equiv a' - b'$ .
- (4)  $ab \equiv a'b' \rightarrow \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \overline{ab}$  is well-defined.

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$  is a group;

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, \cdot)$  is a monoid,

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +, \cdot)$  is a ring with identity  $\bar{1}$ .

# CONGRUENCES MODULO $m$

Properties: If  $a \equiv a'$  and  $b \equiv b' \pmod{m}$ , then

- (1)  $a + b \equiv a' + b'$ ;  $\rightarrow \bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b}$  is well-defined;
- (2)  $-a \equiv -a'$ ;  $\rightarrow -\bar{a} = \overline{-a}$  is well-defined;
- (3)  $a - b \equiv a' - b'$ .
- (4)  $ab \equiv a'b'$   $\rightarrow \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \overline{ab}$  is well-defined.

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$  is a group;

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, \cdot)$  is a monoid,

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +, \cdot)$  is a ring with identity  $\bar{1}$ .

# CONGRUENCES MODULO $m$

Properties: If  $a \equiv a'$  and  $b \equiv b' \pmod{m}$ , then

- (1)  $a + b \equiv a' + b'$ ;  $\rightarrow \bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b}$  is well-defined;
- (2)  $-a \equiv -a'$ ;  $\rightarrow -\bar{a} = \overline{-a}$  is well-defined;
- (3)  $a - b \equiv a' - b'$ .
- (4)  $ab \equiv a'b' \rightarrow \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \overline{ab}$  is well-defined.

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$  is a group;

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, \cdot)$  is a monoid,

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +, \cdot)$  is a ring with identity  $\bar{1}$ .

# CONGRUENCES MODULO $m$

Properties: If  $a \equiv a'$  and  $b \equiv b' \pmod{m}$ , then

- (1)  $a + b \equiv a' + b'$ ;  $\rightarrow \bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b}$  is well-defined;
- (2)  $-a \equiv -a'$ ;  $\rightarrow -\bar{a} = \overline{-a}$  is well-defined;
- (3)  $a - b \equiv a' - b'$ .
- (4)  $ab \equiv a'b' \rightarrow \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \overline{ab}$  is well-defined.

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$  is a group;

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, \cdot)$  is a monoid,

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +, \cdot)$  is a ring with identity  $\bar{1}$ .



# CONGRUENCES MODULO $m$

Properties: If  $a \equiv a'$  and  $b \equiv b' \pmod{m}$ , then

- (1)  $a + b \equiv a' + b'$ ;  $\rightarrow \bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b}$  is well-defined;
- (2)  $-a \equiv -a'$ ;  $\rightarrow -\bar{a} = \overline{-a}$  is well-defined;
- (3)  $a - b \equiv a' - b'$ .
- (4)  $ab \equiv a'b'$   $\rightarrow \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \overline{ab}$  is well-defined.

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$  is a group;

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, \cdot)$  is a monoid,

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +, \cdot)$  is a ring with identity  $\bar{1}$ .

# CONGRUENCES MODULO $m$

Properties: If  $a \equiv a'$  and  $b \equiv b' \pmod{m}$ , then

- (1)  $a + b \equiv a' + b'$ ;  $\rightarrow \bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b}$  is well-defined;
- (2)  $-a \equiv -a'$ ;  $\rightarrow -\bar{a} = \overline{-a}$  is well-defined;
- (3)  $a - b \equiv a' - b'$ .
- (4)  $ab \equiv a'b' \rightarrow \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \overline{ab}$  is well-defined.

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$  is a group;

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, \cdot)$  is a monoid,

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +, \cdot)$  is a ring with identity  $\bar{1}$ .

# CONGRUENCES MODULO $m$

Properties: If  $a \equiv a'$  and  $b \equiv b' \pmod{m}$ , then

- (1)  $a + b \equiv a' + b'$ ;  $\rightarrow \bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b}$  is well-defined;
- (2)  $-a \equiv -a'$ ;  $\rightarrow -\bar{a} = \overline{-a}$  is well-defined;
- (3)  $a - b \equiv a' - b'$ .
- (4)  $ab \equiv a'b' \rightarrow \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \overline{ab}$  is well-defined.

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$  is a group;

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, \cdot)$  is a monoid,

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +, \cdot)$  is a ring with identity  $\bar{1}$ .

# IS IT TRUE FOR EVERY EQUIVALENCE RELATION ON $\mathbb{Z}$ ?

Let the relation  $\rho$  be defined by

$$\rho = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid \text{both } a \text{ and } b \text{ are odd}\} \cup \{(a, a) \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

$$[1]_\rho + [1]_\rho = \{2, 4, 6, \dots\};$$

$$[1 + 1]_\rho = [2]_\rho = \{2\}.$$

No!

# IS IT TRUE FOR EVERY EQUIVALENCE RELATION ON $\mathbb{Z}$ ?

Let the relation  $\rho$  be defined by

$$\rho = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid \text{both } a \text{ and } b \text{ are odd}\} \cup \{(a, a) \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

$$[1]_{\rho} + [1]_{\rho} = \{2, 4, 6, \dots\};$$

$$[1 + 1]_{\rho} = [2]_{\rho} = \{2\}.$$

No!

# IS IT TRUE FOR EVERY EQUIVALENCE RELATION ON $\mathbb{Z}$ ?

Let the relation  $\rho$  be defined by

$$\rho = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid \text{both } a \text{ and } b \text{ are odd}\} \cup \{(a, a) \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

$$[1]_{\rho} + [1]_{\rho} = \{2, 4, 6, \dots\};$$

$$[1 + 1]_{\rho} = [2]_{\rho} = \{2\}.$$

No!

# FOR WHICH EQUIVALENCE RELATION ON $\mathbb{Z}$ WE HAVE THIS PROPERTY?

For the equivalence relation  $\rho$ ,

- 1 if the operation  $[a]_\rho + [b]_\rho = [a + b]_\rho$  is well-defined, then  $[0]_\rho$  is a subsemigroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  because  $[a + b]_\rho = [0 + 0]_\rho = [0]_\rho$  for every  $a, b \in [0]_\rho$ ;
- 2 if the operation  $-[a]_\rho = [-a]_\rho$  is well-defined too, then  $[0]_\rho$  is a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ;

$$a\rho b \Leftrightarrow 0 = (a - a)\rho(b - a) \Leftrightarrow b - a \in [0]_\rho$$

# FOR WHICH EQUIVALENCE RELATION ON $\mathbb{Z}$ WE HAVE THIS PROPERTY?

For the equivalence relation  $\rho$ ,

- 1 if the operation  $[a]_\rho + [b]_\rho = [a + b]_\rho$  is well-defined, then  $[0]_\rho$  is a subsemigroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  because  $[a + b]_\rho = [0 + 0]_\rho = [0]_\rho$  for every  $a, b \in [0]_\rho$ ;
- 2 if the operation  $-[a]_\rho = [-a]_\rho$  is well-defined too, then  $[0]_\rho$  is a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ;

$$a\rho b \Leftrightarrow 0 = (a - a)\rho(b - a) \Leftrightarrow b - a \in [0]_\rho$$



# FOR WHICH EQUIVALENCE RELATION ON $\mathbb{Z}$ WE HAVE THIS PROPERTY?

For the equivalence relation  $\rho$ ,

- 1 if the operation  $[a]_\rho + [b]_\rho = [a + b]_\rho$  is well-defined, then  $[0]_\rho$  is a subsemigroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  because  $[a + b]_\rho = [0 + 0]_\rho = [0]_\rho$  for every  $a, b \in [0]_\rho$ ;
- 2 if the operation  $-[a]_\rho = [-a]_\rho$  is well-defined too, then  $[0]_\rho$  is a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ;

$$a\rho b \Leftrightarrow 0 = (a - a)\rho(b - a) \Leftrightarrow b - a \in [0]_\rho$$

# SUBGROUPS AND WELL-BEHAVIOUR

## EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

For the equivalence relation  $\rho$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/\rho = \{[n]_\rho \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with the addition defined by  $[a]_\rho + [b]_\rho = [a + b]_\rho$  is a group if and only if  $[0]_\rho$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

How about an arbitrary group?

# SUBGROUPS AND WELL-BEHAVIOUR

## EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

For the equivalence relation  $\rho$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/\rho = \{[n]_\rho \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with the addition defined by  $[a]_\rho + [b]_\rho = [a + b]_\rho$  is a group if and only if  $[0]_\rho$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

How about an arbitrary group?

# FOR WHICH EQUIVALENCE RELATION ON $\mathbb{Z}$ WE HAVE THIS PROPERTY?

- 1 if the operation  $[a]_\rho \cdot [b]_\rho = [ab]_\rho$  is well-defined, then  $[0]_\rho$  is a subsemigroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}, \cdot)$  because  $[a \cdot b] = [0 \cdot 0] = [0]$  for every  $a, b \in [0]$ .
- 2 if the operation  $[a]_\rho \cdot [b]_\rho = [ab]_\rho$  is well-defined too, then  $[0]_\rho$  is a subring of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ .

Remember quotients of rings!

# FOR WHICH EQUIVALENCE RELATION ON $\mathbb{Z}$ WE HAVE THIS PROPERTY?

- 1 if the operation  $[a]_\rho \cdot [b]_\rho = [ab]_\rho$  is well-defined, then  $[0]_\rho$  is a subsemigroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}, \cdot)$  because  $[a \cdot b] = [0 \cdot 0] = [0]$  for every  $a, b \in [0]$ .
- 2 if the operation  $[a]_\rho \cdot [b]_\rho = [ab]_\rho$  is well-defined too, then  $[0]_\rho$  is a subring of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ .

Remember quotients of rings!

# FOR WHICH EQUIVALENCE RELATION ON $\mathbb{Z}$ WE HAVE THIS PROPERTY?

- 1 if the operation  $[a]_\rho \cdot [b]_\rho = [ab]_\rho$  is well-defined, then  $[0]_\rho$  is a subsemigroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}, \cdot)$  because  $[a \cdot b] = [0 \cdot 0] = [0]$  for every  $a, b \in [0]$ .
- 2 if the operation  $[a]_\rho \cdot [b]_\rho = [ab]_\rho$  is well-defined too, then  $[0]_\rho$  is a subring of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ .

Remember quotients of rings!

For a group  $G$  and an equivalence relation  $\rho$  on it such that  $G/\rho$  is a group we have  $H = [1]_\rho \leq G$  and  $a\rho b$  if and only if  $aH = bH$ .

Furthermore, for every  $g \in G$  we have

$$H^g = gHg^{-1} = g\{x \in G \mid x\rho 1\}g^{-1} = \{gxg^{-1} \mid x\rho 1\}.$$

Since  $[gxg^{-1}]_\rho = [g]_\rho[x]_\rho[g^{-1}]_\rho = [g]_\rho[1]_\rho[g^{-1}]_\rho = [1]_\rho$ ,  
 $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ !

For a ring  $R$  and an equivalence relation  $\rho$  on it such that  $R/\rho$  is a ring we have  $I = [0]_\rho \leq R$  and  $a\rho b$  if and only if  $a + I = b + I$ .

Furthermore, for every  $r \in R$  we have

$$rI = r[0]_\rho = \{rx \mid x\rho 0\} \text{ and } Ir = \{xr \mid x\rho 0\}.$$

Since  $[rx]_\rho = [r]_\rho[x]_\rho = [r]_\rho[0]_\rho = [0]_\rho$ ,  
 $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ !



# HOW ABOUT OTHER ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES?

**Modules or vector spaces.** For an  $R$ -module  $M$  and an equivalence relation  $\rho$  on it such that  $M/\rho$  is an  $R$ -module with the following operations

$$[a]_\rho + [b]_\rho = [a + b]_\rho \text{ and } r[a]_\rho = [ra]_\rho.$$

Since  $[ra]_\rho = r[a]_\rho = r[0]_\rho = [0]_\rho$ ,  
the class  $[0]_\rho$  is a submodule of  $M$ !

# CONGRUENCES

Roughly speaking, for every algebraic structure  $A$ , an equivalence relation  $\rho$  on  $A$  is called a congruence if natural operations on the set of equivalence classes of  $A$  are well-behaviour (e.g. if  $A$  is a group (ring), then  $A/\rho$  is a group (ring) with natural operations).

Groups  $\rightarrow$  Normal subgroups

Rings  $\rightarrow$  Ideals

$R$ -modules  $\rightarrow$  Submodules

Vector Spaces  $\rightarrow$  Subspaces

?

# IS THERE ALWAYS A BIJECTION BETWEEN CONGRUENCES AND A COLLECTION OF SUBALGEBRAS?

**Semigroups.** For  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ , the equivalence relation  $\Delta = \{(n, n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a congruence and every equivalence class of  $\Delta$  is not a subsemigroup.

Therefore the answer is **NO!**

Let  $I$  be a subset of a semigroup  $S$  such that  $SI, IS \subseteq I$ .  
Then the relation  $\rho_I$  defined by  
 $\{(s, s) \mid s \in S\} \cup \{(a, a') \mid a, a' \in I\}$  is a congruence.

**Example.** For  $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \cdot)$  we have  
 $\{(n, n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\} = \rho_{\{0\}}$ .

Recall that in the group  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  the subgroups  $2\mathbb{Z}_6$  and  $3\mathbb{Z}_6$  have trivial intersection and  $\mathbb{Z}_6 = 2\mathbb{Z}_6 + 3\mathbb{Z}_6$  and in fact

$$\mathbb{Z}_6 \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_6}{2\mathbb{Z}_6} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_6}{3\mathbb{Z}_6}.$$

For an arbitrary group  $G$ , let  $N_1, N_2 \triangleleft G$  such that the following conditions hold.

- (I)  $N_1 \cap N_2 = \{1\}$ ;
- (II)  $G = N_1 N_2 (= N_2 N_1)$  (**uniqueness:  $n = n_1 n_2$** ).

Then

$$G \cong \frac{G}{N_1} \times \frac{G}{N_2}.$$

Recall that in the group  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  the subgroups  $2\mathbb{Z}_6$  and  $3\mathbb{Z}_6$  have trivial intersection and  $\mathbb{Z}_6 = 2\mathbb{Z}_6 + 3\mathbb{Z}_6$  and in fact

$$\mathbb{Z}_6 \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_6}{2\mathbb{Z}_6} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_6}{3\mathbb{Z}_6}.$$

For an arbitrary group  $G$ , let  $N_1, N_2 \triangleleft G$  such that the following conditions hold.

- (I)  $N_1 \cap N_2 = \{1\}$ ;
- (II)  $G = N_1 N_2 (= N_2 N_1)$  (**uniqueness:**  $n = n_1 n_2$ ).

Then

$$G \cong \frac{G}{N_1} \times \frac{G}{N_2}.$$

**Indecomposable groups** Groups without any pair of non-trivial normal subgroups which satisfies the conditions at the above.

**Example.**  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  (in general, every abelian group of order  $p^n$  for some prime number  $p$ )

**Krull-Schmidt theorem.** Every finite group can be uniquely written as a finite direct product of indecomposable subgroups.

**The fundamental theorem of finite abelian groups.** Every finite abelian group can be expressed as the direct sum of cyclic subgroups of prime-power order.

**Indecomposable groups** Groups without any pair of non-trivial normal subgroups which satisfies the conditions at the above.

**Example.**  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  (in general, every abelian group of order  $p^n$  for some prime number  $p$ )

**Krull-Schmidt theorem.** Every finite group can be uniquely written as a finite direct product of indecomposable subgroups.

The fundamental theorem of finite abelian groups.  
Every finite abelian group can be expressed as the direct sum of cyclic subgroups of prime-power order.



**Indecomposable groups** Groups without any pair of non-trivial normal subgroups which satisfies the conditions at the above.

**Example.**  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  (in general, every abelian group of order  $p^n$  for some prime number  $p$ )

**Krull-Schmidt theorem.** Every finite group can be uniquely written as a finite direct product of indecomposable subgroups.

**The fundamental theorem of finite abelian groups.**  
Every finite abelian group can be expressed as the direct sum of cyclic subgroups of prime-power order.

# HOW THEY ARE USEFUL?

Natural numbers  $\rightarrow$  prime numbers

Finite groups  $\rightarrow$  indecomposable finite groups

What are abelian groups of order less than 100 which have an element of order 5 and an element of order 7?

$$\mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{n_m}}$$

Just  $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{35}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{70}$

# HOW THEY ARE USEFUL?

Natural numbers  $\rightarrow$  prime numbers

Finite groups  $\rightarrow$  indecomposable finite groups

What are abelian groups of order less than 100 which have an element of order 5 and an element of order 7?

$$\mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{n_m}}$$

Just  $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{35}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{70}$

# HOW THEY ARE USEFUL?

Natural numbers  $\rightarrow$  prime numbers

Finite groups  $\rightarrow$  indecomposable finite groups

What are abelian groups of order less than 100 which have an element of order 5 and an element of order 7?

$$\mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{n_m}}$$

Just  $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{35}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{70}$

# HOW THEY ARE USEFUL?

Natural numbers  $\rightarrow$  prime numbers

Finite groups  $\rightarrow$  indecomposable finite groups

What are abelian groups of order less than 100 which have an element of order 5 and an element of order 7?

$$\mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{n_m}}$$

Just  $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{35}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{70}$

## OTHER ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES?

If  $G \cong \frac{G}{N_1} \times \frac{G}{N_2}$  under the map  $\phi(g) = (g_{N_1}, g_{N_2})$ , then for every  $x, y \in G$ , there exists  $z \in G$  such that  $\phi(z) = (x_{N_1}, y_{N_2})$  and specially,

$$xN_1 \cap yN_2 \neq \emptyset.$$

For every algebraic structure  $A$  let  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  be two congruences on  $A$  such that

- (I)  $\rho_1 \cap \rho_2 = \Delta = \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}$ ;
- (II) for every  $x, y \in A$  there exist  $z, z' \in A$  such that  
 $(x, z) \in \rho_1$  and  $(z, y) \in \rho_2$ ; and  
 $(x, z') \in \rho_2$  and  $(z', y) \in \rho_1$ .

a pair of factor congruences

## OTHER ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES?

If  $G \cong \frac{G}{N_1} \times \frac{G}{N_2}$  under the map  $\phi(g) = (g_{N_1}, g_{N_2})$ , then for every  $x, y \in G$ , there exists  $z \in G$  such that  $\phi(z) = (x_{N_1}, y_{N_2})$  and specially,

$$xN_1 \cap yN_2 \neq \emptyset.$$

For every algebraic structure  $A$  let  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  be two congruences on  $A$  such that

- (I)  $\rho_1 \cap \rho_2 = \Delta = \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}$ ;
- (II) for every  $x, y \in A$  there exist  $z, z' \in A$  such that
  - $(x, z) \in \rho_1$  and  $(z, y) \in \rho_2$ ; and
  - $(x, z') \in \rho_2$  and  $(z', y) \in \rho_1$ .

a pair of factor congruences

## OTHER ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES?

If  $G \cong \frac{G}{N_1} \times \frac{G}{N_2}$  under the map  $\phi(g) = (g_{N_1}, g_{N_2})$ , then for every  $x, y \in G$ , there exists  $z \in G$  such that  $\phi(z) = (x_{N_1}, y_{N_2})$  and specially,

$$xN_1 \cap yN_2 \neq \emptyset.$$

For every algebraic structure  $A$  let  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  be two congruences on  $A$  such that

- (I)  $\rho_1 \cap \rho_2 = \Delta = \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}$ ;
- (II) for every  $x, y \in A$  there exist  $z, z' \in A$  such that  
 $(x, z) \in \rho_1$  and  $(z, y) \in \rho_2$ ; and  
 $(x, z') \in \rho_2$  and  $(z', y) \in \rho_1$ .

a pair of factor congruences



## OTHER ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES?

If  $G \cong \frac{G}{N_1} \times \frac{G}{N_2}$  under the map  $\phi(g) = (g_{N_1}, g_{N_2})$ , then for every  $x, y \in G$ , there exists  $z \in G$  such that  $\phi(z) = (x_{N_1}, y_{N_2})$  and specially,

$$xN_1 \cap yN_2 \neq \emptyset.$$

For every algebraic structure  $A$  let  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  be two congruences on  $A$  such that

- (I)  $\rho_1 \cap \rho_2 = \Delta = \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}$ ;
- (II) for every  $x, y \in A$  there exist  $z, z' \in A$  such that  
 $(x, z) \in \rho_1$  and  $(z, y) \in \rho_2$ ; and  
 $(x, z') \in \rho_2$  and  $(z', y) \in \rho_1$ .

a pair of factor congruences

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF FINITE ALGEBRAS

An algebra  $A$  is (directly) indecomposable if  $A$  is not isomorphic to a direct product of two nontrivial algebras (equivalently,  $A$  has no pair of factor congruences  $\rho_1, \rho_2 \neq \Delta$ ).

**Theorem.** Every finite algebra is isomorphic to a direct product of directly indecomposable algebras.

S. Burris, and H. P. Sankappanavar, A Course in Universal Algebra, Springer New York, 2011.

# OTHER STRUCTURES?

For every structure  $A$  let  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  be two compatible equivalence relation on  $A$  such that

- (I)  $\rho_1 \cap \rho_2 = \Delta = \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}$ ;
- (II) for every  $x, y \in A$  there exist  $z, z' \in A$  such that
  - $(x, z) \in \rho_1$  and  $(z, y) \in \rho_2$ ; and
  - $(x, z') \in \rho_2$  and  $(z', y) \in \rho_1$ .

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF ORDERED STRUCTURES

**Order on Quotients** Given a poset  $(P, \leq)$  and an equivalence relation  $\rho$ , let  $[p] \leq [q]$  if and only if there exists  $p' \in [p]$  and  $q' \in [q]$  such that  $p' \leq q'$ .

An equivalence  $\rho$  on  $P$  is called compatible if  $P/\rho$  is a poset.  
 $(\mathbb{Z}, \leq)$ ?

Nicholas J. Williams, A survey of congruences and quotients of partially ordered sets, arXiv:2303.03765.

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF ORDERED STRUCTURES

**Order on Quotients** Given a poset  $(P, \leq)$  and an equivalence relation  $\rho$ , let  $[p] \leq [q]$  if and only if there exists  $p' \in [p]$  and  $q' \in [q]$  such that  $p' \leq q'$ .

An equivalence  $\rho$  on  $P$  is called compatible if  $P/\rho$  is a poset.

$(\mathbb{Z}, \leq)$ ?

Nicholas J. Williams, A survey of congruences and quotients of partially ordered sets, arXiv:2303.03765.

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF ORDERED STRUCTURES

**Order on Quotients** Given a poset  $(P, \leq)$  and an equivalence relation  $\rho$ , let  $[p] \leq [q]$  if and only if there exists  $p' \in [p]$  and  $q' \in [q]$  such that  $p' \leq q'$ .

An equivalence  $\rho$  on  $P$  is called compatible if  $P/\rho$  is a poset.  
 $(\mathbb{Z}, \leq)$ ?

Nicholas J. Williams, A survey of congruences and quotients of partially ordered sets, arXiv:2303.03765.

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF ORDERED GROUP OR ORDERED SEMIGROUP

Let  $S$  be a set endowed with a law of composition that is written multiplicatively. By a compatible order on  $S$  we mean an order  $\leq$  with respect to which all translations  $y \rightarrow xy$  and  $y \rightarrow yx$  are isotone.

Example. By an ordered group we shall mean a group on which there is defined a compatible order.  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \leq)$ ?

T.S. Blyth, Lattices and Ordered Algebraic Structures, Springer-Verlag London Limited 2005.

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF ORDERED GROUP OR ORDERED SEMIGROUP

Let  $S$  be a set endowed with a law of composition that is written multiplicatively. By a compatible order on  $S$  we mean an order  $\leq$  with respect to which all translations  $y \rightarrow xy$  and  $y \rightarrow yx$  are isotone.

Example. By an ordered group we shall mean a group on which there is defined a compatible order.  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \leq)$ ?

T.S. Blyth, Lattices and Ordered Algebraic Structures, Springer-Verlag London Limited 2005.



# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF ORDERED GROUP OR ORDERED SEMIGROUP

Let  $S$  be a set endowed with a law of composition that is written multiplicatively. By a compatible order on  $S$  we mean an order  $\leq$  with respect to which all translations  $y \rightarrow xy$  and  $y \rightarrow yx$  are isotone.

Example. By an ordered group we shall mean a group on which there is defined a compatible order.  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \leq)$ ?

T.S. Blyth, Lattices and Ordered Algebraic Structures, Springer-Verlag London Limited 2005.

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF DIRECTED GRAPHS

**Remark.** The definition of the quotient also applies to arbitrary relations and directed graphs.

**Quotient of a directed graph** Given a directed graph  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  and an equivalence relation  $\rho$ , let  $([p], [q]) \in \tilde{E}$  if and only if there exists  $p' \in [p]$  and  $q' \in [q]$  such that  $(p', q') \in E$ .

W. Imrich, and S. Klavzar, Product graphs: structure and recognition, Wiley, 2000.

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF DIRECTED GRAPHS

**Remark.** The definition of the quotient also applies to arbitrary relations and directed graphs.

**Quotient of a directed graph** Given a directed graph  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  and an equivalence relation  $\rho$ , let  $([p], [q]) \in \tilde{E}$  if and only if there exists  $p' \in [p]$  and  $q' \in [q]$  such that  $(p', q') \in E$ .

W. Imrich, and S. Klavzar, Product graphs: structure and recognition, Wiley, 2000.

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURES

A topological space  $X$  and an equivalence relation  $\rho$

Quotient topology induced by the natural projection  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/\rho$ .

Quotient space

Hausdorff spaces???

Factorization?  $X = X_1 \times X_2$  and  $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$

$$\emptyset \subset \{(1, 1)\} \subset \{(1, 1), (1, 2)\} \subset \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1)\} \subset \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2)\}$$

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURES

A topological space  $X$  and an equivalence relation  $\rho$

Quotient topology induced by the natural projection  
 $\pi : X \rightarrow X/\rho$ .

Quotient space

Hausdorff spaces???

Factorization?  $X = X_1 \times X_2$  and  $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$

$$\emptyset \subset \{(1, 1)\} \subset \{(1, 1), (1, 2)\} \subset \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1)\} \subset \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2)\}$$

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURES

A topological space  $X$  and an equivalence relation  $\rho$

Quotient topology induced by the natural projection  
 $\pi : X \rightarrow X/\rho$ .

Quotient space

Hausdorff spaces???

Factorization?  $X = X_1 \times X_2$  and  $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$

$$\emptyset \subset \{(1, 1)\} \subset \{(1, 1), (1, 2)\} \subset \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1)\} \subset \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2)\}$$

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURES

A topological space  $X$  and an equivalence relation  $\rho$

Quotient topology induced by the natural projection  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/\rho$ .

Quotient space

Hausdorff spaces???

Factorization?  $X = X_1 \times X_2$  and  $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$

$$\emptyset \subset \{(1, 1)\} \subset \{(1, 1), (1, 2)\} \subset \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1)\} \subset \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2)\}$$

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURES

A topological space  $X$  and an equivalence relation  $\rho$

Quotient topology induced by the natural projection  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/\rho$ .

Quotient space

Hausdorff spaces???

Factorization?  $X = X_1 \times X_2$  and  $\pi_j : X \rightarrow X_j$

$$\emptyset \subset \{(1, 1)\} \subset \{(1, 1), (1, 2)\} \subset \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1)\} \subset \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2)\}$$



# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF ALGEBRAIC TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURES

## Algebraic topological structures and topological congruences?

Topological semigroup: A semigroup  $S$  with a topology on it is called a topological semigroup if its multiplication is continuous.

Topological congruence

Wallace A. D., On the structure of topological semigroups, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 61 (1955),95-112.

Lawson, J. D. and Madison, B., On congruences and cones, Math. Z., 120 (1971), 18-24.

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF ALGEBRAIC TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURES

## Algebraic topological structures and topological congruences?

Topological semigroup: A semigroup  $S$  with a topology on it is called a topological semigroup if its multiplication is continuous.

Topological congruence

Wallace A. D., On the structure of topological semigroups, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 61 (1955),95-112.

Lawson, J. D. and Madison, B., On congruences and cones, Math. Z., 120 (1971), 18-24.

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF ALGEBRAIC TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURES

## Algebraic topological structures and topological congruences?

Topological semigroup: A semigroup  $S$  with a topology on it is called a topological semigroup if its multiplication is continuous.

### Topological congruence

Wallace A. D., On the structure of topological semigroups, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 61 (1955),95-112.

Lawson, J. D. and Madison, B., On congruences and cones, Math. Z., 120 (1971), 18-24.

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF NORMAL EDGE-TRANSITIVE CAYLEY GRAPHS

Praeger determined quotients of normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs which are again normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs.

C. E. Praeger, Finite Normal Edge-transitive Cayley graphs, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 60 (1999), 207-220.

Factorization?

B. Khosravi, B. Khosravi, and B. Khosravi, On reconstruction of normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs, Annals of Combinatorics, 24 (2020), 791–807.

B. Khosravi, and C. E. Praeger, Normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs and Frattini-like subgroups, Journal of Algebra, 607 (2022) 473-498.

# QUOTIENTS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF NORMAL EDGE-TRANSITIVE CAYLEY GRAPHS

Praeger determined quotients of normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs which are again normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs.

C. E. Praeger, Finite Normal Edge-transitive Cayley graphs, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 60 (1999), 207-220.

Factorization?

B. Khosravi, B. Khosravi, and B. Khosravi, On reconstruction of normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs, Annals of Combinatorics, 24 (2020), 791–807.

B. Khosravi, and C. E. Praeger, Normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs and Frattini-like subgroups, Journal of Algebra, 607 (2022) 473-498.

Thanks for your attention

<https://www.karnaval.ir/blog/chichen-itza-pyramid-maya-civilization>